

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/07/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/079,010	02/19/2002	Stephen C. Vincent	P04860US1	6367
22885 7	590 11/07/2005		EXAM	INER
MCKEE, VOORHEES & SEASE, P.L.C. 801 GRAND AVENUE			EASTHOM, KARL D	
SUITE 3200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DES MOINES, IA 50309-2721			2832	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/079,010	VINCENT, STEPHEN C.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Karl D. Easthom	2832
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IF Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired  d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07.</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ The Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims	,	
4)  Claim(s) 7-10,12,13,15 and 17-26 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 7-10, 12,13,15, and 17-26 is/are rejected to.  8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and are subject to restriction and are subject to restriction and are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to the Examination of th	awn from consideration. ected. /or election requirement. ner. ecepted or b) □ objected to by the	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents.  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat iority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/079,010 Page 2

Art Unit: 2832

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 7-10, 12-13, 15, 17-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being obvious 2 over by Yamada in view of Szupillo, Copetti et al., or Sato (61-27264). Yamada discloses the claimed invention at Fig. 10, except the material of the outer barrier being tantalum pentoxide, and the sputtering of claim 12. The single metal thin film resistive layer of nichrome is at the top of col. 6, meeting claims 8-10, 13 and 15, with double protective layers for claim 15. Sato discloses sputtering a tantalum oxide layer for the purpose of providing a protective layer so that it would have been obvious to employ a sputtered layer, to replace the protection layer of Yamada, for protection where the references disclose a protection layer or double protection layer for a resistor. Paragraphs 63 and 69 of Copetti et al. disclose dielectrics of tantalum pentoxide, as substitutes for other dielectrics, and providing protection or barriers for nichrome resistors such as that of Yamada, so that it would have been obvious to form one or two layers of such a material where it is a well known protective layer compatible with Szupillo discloses dielectrics of tantalum nichrome resistors as suggested. pentoxide, as substitutes for other dielectrics, and notes that same is a barrier layer, providing protection or barriers for resistors such as that of Yamada, so that it would have been obvious to form one or two layers of such a material where it is a well known protective layer compatible with resistors as suggested, and where Yamada discloses a

Application/Control Number: 10/079,010

Art Unit: 2832

For claims 13 and 15, the alumina substrate is at col. 7. As an barrier laver. alternative to claim 12. Copetti discloses depositing the tantalum pentoxide layer, and sputtering is a well-known method of deposition, rendering such a process obvious. Or it is not clear how sputtering the layer renders it distinct from other methods of deposition, so that such a layer is met by deposition as a product by process limitation For claim 19, Fig. 1 discloses the electrodes 27 rendering no distinct structure. wrapping around the top. For claim 20, the thin film of nichrome noted above meets the claim. For claim 18, the outer layer can meet the test where it is the same material. There will be no barrier layer upon replacement as suggested. For claims 21-26, the Yamada resistive layer 23 is directly attached to the substrate 21, and there are terminations a known, as well as the moisture barrier consisting essentially of tantalum pentoxide and the passivation layer as modified, so that the claims are met. That is, the claims follow from like claims addressed above, where the process steps follow from the products of like claims. For example, claims 21-22 and 24-25 follow from claim 7, since the tantalum pentoxide layer is an outer moisture barrier layer. See also the remarks with respect to claim 12 for other process steps of deposition. For claims 23 and 26, see claim 15 above, disclosing the passivation layer.

Page 3

3. Applicant's arguments filed 9/7/05 have been fully considered, and are not persuasive or are moot due to the new grounds of rejection. Applicant argues that there is no motivation to replace the screen-printed barrier layer of Yamada. This is not correct. The motivation is as noted. The motivation, or suggestion, for example, is that one known prior art dielectric can be replaced for another since they are both

Art Unit: 2832

by Yamada, nichrome. Applicant admits at page 10 of his arguments that it would have been obvious to use the material of tantalum pentoxide as an insulative layer, but says it would not have been obvious to use as a moisture barrier layer. This admission must defeat applicant's arguments since even if the moisture barrier aspect were not recognized, the fact that the function is still performed renders applicant's claims met. Recognition of an inherent function in prior art obvious devices does not render the claims patentable, especially where there is a barrier. As to Exhibit 1, because all dielectrics do not form good moisture barriers may be one factor to consider, but this does not defeat the motivation. As to Exhibit 2, there is no requirement to give weight to the European patent office or any other office. As to teaching away alleged, no such showing has been made where there is no assertion of inferior results taught in the prior art combination made.

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl D Easthom whose telephone number is (571) 272-1989. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 5:30AM-4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin Enad can be reached on (571) 272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Karl D Easthom Primary Examiner Art Unit 2832

**KDE**